## Case 1:01-cr-05359-AWI Document 163 Filed 02/23/07 Page 1 of 3

McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney MARK J. McKEON MARIANNE A. PANSA Assistant U.S. Attorneys 4401 Federal Building 4 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, California 93721 5 Telephone (559) 497-4000 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 CASE NO.: CR 1:01-cr-5359 AWI UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING TRIAL AND MOTIONS V. 12 IN LIMINE STEVEN M. GROTE 13 Defendant. 14 15 16 The parties, through their respective counsel, agree upon the 17 following facts: 18 1. This case is currently set for trial on March 27, 2007. 19 However, one of government counsel had a trial that recently 20 resulted in a hung jury that is set for re-trial on March 12, 2007. Due to some discovery issues, the government will be requesting 21

- However, one of government counsel had a trial that recently resulted in a hung jury that is set for re-trial on March 12, 2007 Due to some discovery issues, the government will be requesting that this re-trial be reset for the end of March 2007, putting it even closer to the trial date for the present case. The second government counsel has a trial that is currently set for April 3, 2007. This trial date is expected to hold firm.
- 2. In addition, the parties are attempting to set up meetings between defense counsel and the government attorneys to discuss possible resolution of the case. Because of the complexity of the

1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2 3

5 6

4

7 8

10

9

12

13

11

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

case, these meetings take considerable preparation. If successful, however, these meetings could result in a resolution of this case without trial.

- 3. The defendant is not in custody, and he does not object to the request that the trial date be reset.
- The defendant's attorney has indicated that he has trials double set throughout April, May and June. The parties agree that this case should be set for trial on July 9, 2007.
- 5. In addition, because of the complexity of the case and the trial schedules of both parties, the parties agree that they need additional time for effective preparation of this case for trial.

Therefore, the parties agree that the Court can issue the following order:

- The Jury Trial, presently scheduled for March 27, 2007, 1. shall be rescheduled for July 9, 2007, at 9:00 a.m.
- The hearing to consider motions and the trial 2. confirmation hearing, presently scheduled for March 12, 2007 shall be rescheduled for June 25, 2007.
- 3. All motions in limine, with supporting points and authorities, shall be filed and served by 4:00 p.m. on May 29, 2007.
- 4. Opposition to any motion in limine shall be filed and served by 4:00 p.m. on June 11, 2007.
- Replies to any opposition shall be filed and served by 5. 4:00 p.m. on June 18, 2007.
- Pre-trial filings required by the Pre-Trial 6. Order-including witness lists, trial briefs, exhibits, proposed voir dire questions, notice of the use of

## Case 1:01-cr-05359-AWI Document 163 Filed 02/23/07 Page 3 of 3

1	videotape and computers, and the agreed summary of t	he
2	case-presently due on March 22, 2006, shall be filed by	
3	4:00 p.m. on July 5, 2007.	
4	7. Time shall be excluded until July 9, 2007, in the	
5	interests of justice pursuant to 18 U.S.C.	
6	§3161(h)(8)(A).	
7	United States Attorney	
9	/s/ Mark J. McKeon	
10 11	Assistant U.S. Attorney	=
12	Dated: February 15, 2007 Wild, Carter & Tipton	
13	/s/ Steven E. Paganetti	
14 15 16	STEVEN E. PAGANETTI Attorneys for Defendant, Steven M. Grote	-
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED except that the Jury Trial date shall be	
19		
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: February 20, 2007 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii	
23	0m8i78 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
24		
25		
26		
27		
2.8		